### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

INDECK KEYSTONE ENERGY LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 04-325 Erie

VICTORY ENERGY OPERATIONS

LLC,

Judge Sean J. McLaughlin

Defendant

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

# DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RULING THAT IKE IS JUDICIALLY ESTOPPED FROM ARGUING THAT DESIGNS AND DRAWINGS FOR "NON-STANDARD" BOILERS WERE PROVIDED TO VEO UNDER THE LICENSE **AGREEMENT**

#### Introduction

Defendant Victory Energy Operations LLC ("VEO"), by its undersigned counsel, for its Motion For Ruling That IKE Is Judicially Estopped From Arguing That Designs And Drawings For "Non-Standard" Boilers Were Provided To VEO Under The License Agreement, states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff Indeck Keystone Energy LLC ("IKE") alleges that the License Agreement dated January 7, 2003 between IKE and VEO was limited in scope to an older design of water tube boiler, the "Standard M-Series Boilers" which included tangent tube furnace and outer walls, and refractory front and rear walls. (Plaintiff's Pretrial Statement, pp. 4-5).
- 2. Plaintiff further claims that the parties never entered into any written amendment or addenda to the Agreement that expressly expanded the scope of the Agreement to include membrane or welded walls.

- 3. IKE asserts that VEO designed, manufactured and sold O-Style watertube boilers with 100% membrane walls without authorization under the Agreement to do so.
- 4. Plaintiff nevertheless argues that VEO obtained the designs for membrane walls under an obligation of confidentiality pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, and in contravention of the Agreement, incorporated those designs into its Voyager® boilers.
  - 5. Respectfully, IKE cannot have it both ways.
- 6. Where a party that has taken a position in a case that its opponent has acted in breach of a duty, the party is judicially estopped from taking a contrary position at trial. Sunbeam Corp. v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Co., 566 Pa. 494; 781 A.2d 1189 (2001)(holding that "judicial estoppel is an equitable, judicially created doctrine designed to protect the integrity of the courts by preventing litigants from "playing fast and loose" with the judicial system by adopting whatever position suits the moment," citing Gross v. City of Pittsburgh, 686 A.2d 864 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1996)).
- 7. IKE is clearly trying to have it both ways here. IKE has made what amounts to a judicial admission by claiming that the Agreement is limited to tangent tube boilers with refractory front and rear walls. (Plaintiff's Amended Pretrial Statement, pp. 4-5). It would be highly inequitable to allow IKE to argue to the jury, at the same time, that the designs of boilers with membrane walls and welded front and rear walls were provided to VEO under the confidentiality provisions of the License Agreement.
- 8. Accordingly, IKE should be judicially estopped from arguing that EPTI provided VEO with drawings and design information for membrane furnace and

outer walls, or welded front or rear walls under the confidentiality provisions of the License Agreement.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Victory Energy Operations LLC requests that the Court enter an order barring Plaintiff from referencing or attempting to introduce evidence that VEO received drawings or design information for any boiler other than Keystone M-Series boilers with tangent tube furnace walls, tangent tube outer walls, refractory front walls and tube and tile rear walls, under the confidentiality provisions of the License Agreement.

Dated: April 20, 2007

Case 1:04-cv-00325-SJM

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher T. Sheean One of the Attorneys for Plaintiff, VICTORY ENERGY OPERATIONS LLC

Christopher T. Sheean Brian W. Lewis Matthew M. Garrett Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP 225 W. Wacker Drive, 28th Floor Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 201-2997

## LOCAL COUNSEL:

G. Jay Habas Marshall, Dennehey, Warner Coleman & Goggin 1001 State Street, Suite 1400 Erie, PA 16506 (814) 461-7800 PA ID No. 55581

Counsel for Victory Energy Operations LLC

Doc#1768420

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Christopher T. Sheean, an attorney, certifies that on the 20th day of April, 2007, he caused a copy of the foregoing Defendant's Motion For Ruling That IKE Is Judicially Estopped From Arguing That Designs And Drawings For "Non-Standard" Boilers Were Provided To VEO Under The License Agreement to be filed electronically, and that the CM/ECF system for the court will e-mail a copy of the foregoing to the following counsel of record:

> John K. Gisleson Robert J. Williams Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis LLP Fifth Avenue Place, Suite 2700 120 Fifth Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15222-3001 Email: JGisleson@Schnader.com ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF INDECK KEYSTONE ENERGY LLC

/s/ Christopher T. Sheean Christopher T. Sheean